

Social Networks

Dapeng Shang

May 5, 2021

Motivation

- ▶ This project studies the impact of social network on investors' expectation of risk-neutral variance, under a dynamic setup.
- ▶ It modifies the model in Han (2018) by adopting the island-connection network in Han and Yang (2013).
- ▶ Takeaways: expected volatility of risky asset payoff
 - ▶ decreases when investors have larger network
 - ▶ increases when more misleading information shared within the social network

Model Setup

- ▶ Two assets economy:
 - ▶ Risk-free asset with constant value 1
 - ▶ Risky asset with liquidating payoff v at T , paying no dividend
- ▶ Noisy supply of risky asset z_t :
 - ▶ A random walk with increment $\Delta z_t = z_t - z_{t-\Delta t} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N\left(0, \frac{\Delta t}{\rho_z}\right)$
 - ▶ Firm has initial supply \bar{z} with shock $\Delta z_0 \sim N\left(0, \frac{\Delta t}{\rho_z}\right)$
- ▶ Investor $i \in [0, 1]$:
 - ▶ CARA utility with risk-aversion $\gamma > 0$
 - ▶ At t , observes an private signal

$$s_{it} = v + \varepsilon_{it}, \text{ with } \varepsilon_{it} \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{\rho_\varepsilon \Delta t}\right)$$

Social Network

- ▶ At t , investor i will be in a group g with other $N - 1$ investors, after paying the cost $C(N)$
 - ▶ The size of group N could be 1, i.e. the investor didn't join any group. Later, we will show under this setting, the size will be same across different group at t
- ▶ Other investors in the same group receive a noisy version of s_{it} through social communication

$$y_{it}^g = s_{it} + \eta_{it}^g, \text{ with } \eta_{it}^g \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{\rho_\eta \Delta t}\right)$$

- ▶ Conditional on v , the precision of y_{it}^g from network sharing information is

$$\rho_y \equiv \left(\rho_\varepsilon^{-1} + \rho_\eta^{-1} \right)^{-1} \in (0, \rho_\varepsilon)$$

Investor Optimization

- ▶ Investor i , with initial wealth W_{i0} , will maximize her expected terminal wealth based on the information available at t

$$\max_{x_{it}} \int -\exp \left\{ -\gamma \left(W_t + \sum_{u=t}^{T-\Delta t} x_{iu} (p_{u+\Delta t} - p_u) - \sum_{u=t}^{T-\Delta t} C(N_u) \Delta t \right) \right\} \cdot dF(v, s_i^t, \ell^t \mid s_{i0}, \dots, s_{it}, \ell_0, \dots, \ell_t, y_{j \neq i, 0}^g, \dots, y_{j \neq i, t}^g)$$

where the ℓ_t is the public information revealed by the price

Size of Social Network

- ▶ **Proposition 1:** The size of the social network is identical across groups and independent of private or group signals. It satisfies

$$C'(N_t) = \frac{\rho_y}{2\gamma} \mathbb{E}_t^* [\text{Var}_{t+\Delta t}^*(v)]$$

where Var_t^* is the risk-neutral variance at t . C is an increasing convex function of N_t .

Optimal Demand & Public Information

- Equilibrium prices p_t is determined by market clearing in the large economy (Schneider (2009))

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^G \left[\frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} x_{it} \right] = z_t$$

- **Proposition 2** : Under the equilibrium, the asset demand for each investor i is

$$x_{it} = x_t(\ell_0, \ell_{\Delta t}, \dots, \ell_t) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{u=0}^t \left(\rho_\varepsilon s_{iu} + a_u \rho_z \ell_u + \rho_y \sum_{j \neq i}^{N_t} y_{j,y}^g \right)$$

where x_t is a common demand function across i . It is a function of $\{\ell_t\}$ via equilibrium prices $p_t = p_t(\ell_0, \ell_{\Delta t}, \dots, \ell_t)$

- The statistics $\ell_t = a_t v \Delta t - \Delta z_t$ is the public information, where $a_t = \frac{\rho_\varepsilon + (N_t - 1)\rho_y}{\gamma}$ at the equilibrium.
- Accuracy of public information $\rho_{\ell_t} \frac{[\rho_\varepsilon + (N_t - 1)\rho_y]^2}{\gamma^2} \rho_z$

State Variables

- ▶ There are 3 state variables that characterizes the system of information content of the market under equilibrium:
 - ▶ common component of asset demand x_t
 - ▶ expected payoff $m_t \equiv E[y | \ell_0, \ell_{\Delta t}, \dots, \ell_t]$
 - ▶ total information precision $\tau_t \equiv \sum_{u=0}^t (\rho_\varepsilon + a_u^2 \rho_z + (N_u - 1) \rho_y) \Delta t$

- ▶ **Proposition 3 :** A valid SDF is given by the average of normalized marginal utility across investors:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{t,T} &= E \left[\xi_{t,T}^i \mid \ell_0, \ell_{\Delta t}, \dots, \ell_{T-\Delta t}, v \right] = E \left[\frac{U'(W_{iT})}{E_t^i[U'(W_{iT})]} \mid \ell_0, \ell_{\Delta t}, \dots, \ell_{T-\Delta t}, v \right] \\ &= \exp \left(- \sum_{u=t}^{T-\Delta t} \left[\gamma x_u (p_{u+\Delta t} - p_u) + \frac{1}{2} \tau_u (p_{u+\Delta t}^2 - p_u^2) - \gamma \cdot C(N_u) \Delta t \right] - f_t \right)\end{aligned}$$

where f_t is a normalizing variable such that $E_t[\xi_{t,T}] = 1$:

$$f_t = \ln E_t \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{u=t}^{T-\Delta t} \left[\gamma x_u (p_{u+\Delta t} - p_u) + \frac{1}{2} \tau_u (p_{u+\Delta t}^2 - p_u^2) - \gamma \cdot C(N_u) \Delta t \right] \right) \right]$$

Continuous Limit

- ▶ Using Taylor expansion to $p(t + \Delta t, x_{t+\Delta t}, m_{t+\Delta t}, \tau_{t+\Delta t})$ around (t, x_t, m_t, τ_t) , we can have volatility of price p_t in continuous-time limit:

$$\sigma_{pt}^2 = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_t \left[(p_{t+\Delta t} - p_t)^2 \right]}{\Delta t} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial m} \rho_z^{1/2} a_t h_t - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \rho_z^{-1/2}$$

where $h_t = \text{Var}[v \mid \ell_0, \ell_{\Delta t}, \dots, \ell_t]$

- ▶ Using the same method, we can get the instantaneous drift of p_t

Variance Drift

- ▶ The risk-neutral drift of risk-neutral variance $\text{Var}_t^*(v)$ is:

$$\mu_{vt}^* = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_t^* [\text{Var}_{t+\Delta t}^*(v) - \text{Var}_t^*(v)]}{\Delta t} = -\sigma_{pt}^2$$

- ▶ **Proposition 4 :** It is decreasing as people has larger network:

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{vt}^*}{\partial N_t} < 0$$

It is increasing as investors share more misleading information within the social network:

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{vt}^*}{\partial \rho_y} < 0$$

Extension

- ▶ All the investors are informed in current model. Introducing uninformed investors and endogenous information acquisition, as Grossman and Stiglitz (1980).
- ▶ The difficulty lies in calculating expected benefit of private signal in dynamic setting.

Reference

1. Breon-Drish, B. (2015). On existence and uniqueness of equilibrium in a class of noisy rational expectations models. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 82(3), 868-921.
2. Han, B. (2018). Dynamic information acquisition and asset prices. Working paper.
3. Han, B., & Yang, L. (2013). Social networks, information acquisition, and asset prices. *Management Science*, 59(6), 1444-1457.